UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,339	09/16/2005	Grant Stuart Richardson	41577/314121	8890
JOHN S. PRAT	7590 06/27/200 T. ESO	8	EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP		DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA	
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,339	RICHARDSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Annette F. Dixon	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on April 10, 2008. Examiner acknowledges claims 1-14 are pending in this application, with claim 1 having been currently amended and claims 15 and 16 having been cancelled.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 10, 2008 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Warncke (3,680,555).

Application/Control Number: 10/529,339

Page 3

Art Unit: 3771

As to Claim 1, Warncke discloses a valve assembly in a respirator (Figures 1 and 2) comprising a valve body (the combination of elements 7 and 26) having a outlet portion (7) and a valve inlet portion (26) which together define a valve cavity (30) for a valve mechanism (29) permitting gas flow from the valve inlet portion (26) to the valve outlet portion (7), an air purge means comprising a purge inlet (defined by the space available in the movement of the valve mechanism 29 to a flexed position enabling the flow of fluid through 33) connectable to an air pressure supply means, and an air purge outlet (defined by the space available in the movement of the valve mechanism 29 to a relaxed position, as seen in Figure 2, enabling the flow of fluid from 33 to the atmosphere) and an air deflection means (the upper semicircular valve seat wherein the valve mechanism rests during the flexed position) in which the deflection means is specially arranged relative to the valve mechanism (29) and the purge outlet (defined by the space available in the movement of the valve mechanism 29 to a relaxed position, as seen in Figure 2, enabling the flow of fluid from 33 to the atmosphere) so that, in use, air exiting the purge outlet (defined by the space available in the movement of the valve mechanism 29 to a relaxed position, as seen in Figure 2, enabling the flow of fluid from 33 to the atmosphere) and incident the air deflection means provides a curtain of air (defined by the space between the valve mechanism 29 and the upper valve body portion 7) over the valve mechanism (29) so as to inhibit exhaled and ambient air from flowing into the respirator, and in which the air purge means is configured so that the curtain of air is continuous when the purge inlet is connected to the air pressure supply means and the air pressure supply means is activated.

As to Claim 2, Warncke discloses the valve has an annular structure and thus has a cylindrical shape. (Column 3, Lines 43 thru Column 4, Line 15).

As to Claim 3, Warncke discloses the valve inlet portion (26) provides a seat (27) for the valve mechanism (29).

As to Claim 4, Warncke discloses the purge inlet and outlet are associated with the valve inlet portion. Specifically, the operation of the purge inlet and outlet are directly related to the movement of the valve mechanism (29) within the valve inlet portion (26), as the movement of the seat (27) changes the ability of the valve mechanism (29) to purge. (Figure 2).

As to Claim 5, Warncke discloses the air deflection means (the upper semicircular valve seat wherein the valve mechanism rests during the flexed position) is associated with the valve outlet portion (7).

As to Claim 6, Warncke discloses the purge outlet (defined by the space available in the movement of the valve mechanism 29 to a relaxed position, as seen in Figure 2, enabling the flow of fluid from 33 to the atmosphere) comprises one or more bores or channels (31) in an upper surface of the valve inlet portion (26).

As to Claim 8, Warncke discloses the air deflection means (the upper semicircular valve seat wherein the valve mechanism rests during the flexed position) comprises a embossment on an inner surface of the valve outer portion (7). As seen in Figure 2, the portion of the valve (7) inwardly protrudes to form a resting area upon which the air deflection means enables the valve mechanism (29) to rest.

Art Unit: 3771

As to Claim 9, Warncke discloses the outlet portion (7) is associated with a dead-space protection member comprising an air guide means (28). The operation of the valve as discussed in Column 3, Lines 55-67 addresses how the air is guided around the valve mechanism (29) thus resulting in a slight overpressure.

As to Claim 14, Warncke discloses the valve mechanism (29) comprises a membrane. (Column 3, Line 46).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warncke (3,680,555).

As to Claim 7, Warncke discloses a valve assembly having a bore in the upper surface of the valve inlet yet does not expressly disclose the bore to taper inwardly towards the valve outlet portion. However, at the time the invention was made the use of tapering of valve openings was known for the purpose of assisting in the flow of gases to a specific point in a laminar profile. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify to the valve opening to a smoother profile as the Applicant has done. Moreover, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the valve of whatever form or shape was

Art Unit: 3771

desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

7. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warncke (3,680,555) in view of Welker (5,769,388).

As to Claims 10-13, Warncke discloses a valve assembly comprising all the recited elements, yet does not expressly disclose the use of a plurality of vanes toward the inlet providing for flow regulation and deflection of airflow within the valve assembly. However, at the time the invention was made the use of the recited elements was known. Specifically Welker discloses the use of a flow diffuser in combination with a valve assembly to assist in the gas flow profiling of the air passing through the valve assembly. Thereby utilizing vanes to control the flow of the air through the valve assembly producing a laminar flow pattern (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Warncke to include the particulars of the air deflector, as taught by Welker to enable the flow profile of the air to have smooth and laminar characteristics.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3771

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Richardson et al. (7,013,891) a valve assembly in a mask with a overpressure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette F. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771 Annette F Dixon Examiner Art Unit 3771

/Annette F Dixon/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771 Application/Control Number: 10/529,339

Page 8

Art Unit: 3771